Rehabilitation science is becoming apparent and eminent among the scientific community, rehabilitation educators and rehabilitation clinicians in upcoming decades. (1) Usually in the incubation phase of any profession some of the educationist and researchers becomes recidivist in violating the research integrity due to their unique expertise in drylabbing and fictional abilities. Unethical research and writing practices are wrong and must be avoided, no excuse for unethical behavior. In Rehabilitation research, therefore, requires the wrongdoer forthrightly to acknowledge their bad act and the harm it has done rather than offering excuses or minimizing the magnitude of the harm. (2)

“Research misconduct means falsification, fabrication or plagiarism in proposing, performing, or reviewing research, or in reporting the research results. Fabrication is making up the data or results and recording or reporting them. Falsification is manipulation of research materials, equipment, or processes, or changing or omitting data or results such that the research is not accurately represented in the research record. Plagiarism is the appropriation of another person’s ideas, processes, results, or words without giving appropriate credit. Research misconduct does not include honest error or differences of opinion. In scientific inquiry and academic research, fabrication is the intentional misrepresentation of research results by making up the data, such as that reported in a journal article.”(3)

Honesty is a prime virtue for the scientific research, choose honest colleague and seniors for research and writing, plan ahead don’t rush, learn medical writing like medical virtues for the scientific community to adopt a stance that rehabilitation is not possible when the reluctance of many of its members to pursue official sanctions for misconduct suggests that they feel rehabilitation is possible. (4, 5, 6)

The cost of scientific misconduct damage to others, the integrity of scientific knowledge, non-productive lines of inquiry based on false information, the reputation of colleagues co-authors, collaborators, and institutions, waste of financial resources to investigate/repeat, loss of confidence in the public, also damage to self-loss of reputation, loss of funding, loss of career, loss of job. (7, 8)

To protect the integrity of rehabilitation science, we must encourage a sense of collective responsibility for creating conditions where wrongdoers won’t reoffend and where scientists are less vulnerable to temptations of fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism.
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